# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) Case No.: 15-00053-01-CR-W-DW
ANDRE G. DEWBERRY,	)
Defendant.	)

## MEMORANDUM OF MATTERS DISCUSSED AND ACTION TAKEN AT PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

Pursuant to the order of the Court en banc of the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri, a pretrial conference was held in the above-entitled cause before me on September 9, 2015. Defendant Dewberry appeared in person and with appointed counsel Carie Allen. The United States of America appeared by Assistant United States Attorney Stefan Hughes.

#### I. BACKGROUND

On February 11, 2015, an indictment was returned charging Defendant with one count of being a felon in possession of a firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2).

The following matters were discussed and action taken during the pretrial conference:

#### II. TRIAL COUNSEL

Mr. Hughes announced that he will be the trial counsel for the government. The case agent to be seated at counsel table is Detective Eric DeValkenaere, Kansas City Police Department.

Ms. Allen announced that she will be the trial counsel for Defendant Dewberry; Julie Eilers, Investigator, will assist.

#### III. OUTSTANDING MOTIONS

There are no pending motions in this case.

#### IV. TRIAL WITNESSES

Mr. Hughes announced that the government intends to call 5 witnesses without stipulations or 3 witnesses with stipulations during the trial.

Ms. Allen announced that Defendant Dewberry intends to call 1 witness during the trial. Defendant may testify.

#### V. TRIAL EXHIBITS

Mr. Hughes announced that the government will offer approximately 7 exhibits in evidence during the trial.

Ms. Allen announced that Defendant Dewberry will offer approximately 3 exhibits in evidence during the trial.

#### VI. DEFENSES

Ms. Allen announced that Defendant Dewberry will rely on the defense of general denial.

#### VII. POSSIBLE DISPOSITION

This case is probably not for trial, as defense counsel plans to file a motion for determination of competency.

#### VIII. STIPULATIONS

Stipulations are likely as to the prior felony conviction and interstate nexus of the firearm.

#### IX. TRIAL TIME

Counsel were in agreement that this case will take 2 days to try.

## X. EXHIBIT LIST, VOIR DIRE AND INSTRUCTIONS

The U. S. Magistrate Judge ordered:

That, in addition to the requirements of the Stipulations and Orders filed August 26, 2015, counsel for each party file and serve a list of exhibits he/she intends to offer in evidence at the trial of this case on the form entitled Exhibit Index and have all available exhibits premarked using the stickers provided by the Clerk of Court September 9, 2015;

That counsel for each party file and serve requested jury voir dire examination questions by or before noon, Wednesday, September 16, 2015;

That counsel for each party file and serve, in accordance with the requirements of Local Rule 51.1, requested jury instructions<sup>1</sup> by or before noon, Wednesday, September 16, 2015. Counsel are requested to provide proposed jury instructions in both hard copy form, as required by Local Rule 51.1, and by e-mail to the trial judge's courtroom deputy.

# XI. UNUSUAL QUESTIONS OF LAW

No motions in limine are anticipated. There are no unusual questions of law.

### XII. TRIAL SETTING

All counsel and Defendant were informed that this case will be listed for trial on the joint criminal jury trial docket which commences on September 21, 2015.

/s/Robert & Larsen

ROBERT E. LARSEN

United States Magistrate Judge

Kansas City, Missouri September 9, 2015 cc: Mr. Kevin Lyon

<sup>1</sup>Counsel in all cases assigned to be tried before Judge Fernando Gaitan, Jr., as reflected in the trial letter, shall meet and prepare a packet of agreed proposed jury instructions to be submitted to Judge Gaitan and e-mailed to marylynn\_shawver@mow.uscourts.gov and rhonda\_enss@mow.uscourts.gov by September 18, 2015. To the extent there are disagreements to certain instructions, each attorney shall tab and submit his or her preference on those instructions. The instructions shall be listed in the order they are to be given.